	Case 4:15-cv-02172-JSW Document 94	4 Filed 11/22/16 Page 1 of 22	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Christine Saunders Haskett (Bar No. 188053) Tracy O. Zinsou (Bar No. 295458) Udit Sood (Bar No. 308476) Lindsey Barnhart (Bar No. 294995) COVINGTON & BURLING LLP One Front Street, 35th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-5356 Telephone: + 1 (415) 591-6000 Facsimile: + 1 (415) 591-6091 Email: chaskett@cov.com; tzinsou@cov.com; usood Attorneys for Plaintiffs Marc Anderson, Kelly Nelson and Juliette Morizur	@cov.com; lbarnhart@cov.com	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10 11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	MARC ANDERSON, KELLY NELSON and	Civil Case No.: 4:15-cv-02172-JSW	
13	JULIETTE MORIZUR on their own behalf and on behalf of a class of others similarly situated,	THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION	
15	Plaintiffs,	COMPLAINT	
16	v.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
17	SEAWORLD PARKS AND ENTERTAINMENT,		
18	INC.,		
19	Defendant.		
20	Plaintiffs Marc Anderson, Kelly Nelson and Juliette Morizur (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), by and		
21	through their attorneys, bring this class action on behalf of themselves and similarly situated others who,		
22	relying on certain false representations, purchased tickets or orca souvenirs from SeaWorld Parks &		
23	Entertainment, Inc. ("SeaWorld" or "Defendant"), which owns, operates and markets SeaWorld San		
24	Diego, a captive marine animal theme park, and state as follows:		
25	INTRODUCTION		
26			

1. SeaWorld is an entertainment company that, among other things, owns and operates a number of marine animal theme parks, including SeaWorld San Diego. SeaWorld is in the business of

27

Case 4:15-cv-02172-JSW Document 94 Filed 11/22/16 Page 2 of 22

selling entertainment and educational services, including its orca exhibitions and shows, and selling 2 souvenirs linked to those entertainment and educational services. In order for consumers to avail 3 themselves of SeaWorld's entertainment and educational services, they are required to purchase 4 admission tickets. As part of a marketing campaign to induce ticket and souvenir purchases, SeaWorld 5 has made, continues to make, and profits off of false and misleading statements concerning the welfare 6 of their captive orcas.

7 2. Orcas are a core SeaWorld San Diego attraction. SeaWorld's logo features two erect cetacean¹ dorsal fins, and "Shamu" is one of SeaWorld's most valuable and globally recognized brands. 8 9 Shamu was the name of an orca captured in October 1965 and sold to SeaWorld in December 1965. 10 Although Shamu died after only about six years in captivity, SeaWorld starting naming other orcas 11 Shamu (and even named its souvenirs "Shamu Plush") in an endeavor to continue capitalizing on the 12 goodwill associated with the deceased orca.

3. Unlike many other theme park businesses, SeaWorld markets itself as a corporation with 13 14 an environmental and animal welfare purpose. It characterizes its entertainment as an "interactive and 15 educational experience that blend[s] imagination with nature and enable[s] our customers to celebrate, connect with, and care for the natural world we share."² SeaWorld claims to be "one of the world's 16 17 foremost zoological organizations and a global leader in animal welfare, training, husbandry and veterinary care."³ 18

4. 19 SeaWorld has engaged in a pervasive, long-term advertising campaign to mislead the public about its care for captive orcas and conceal the detrimental health effects that captivity has on 20 21 orcas.

5. SeaWorld's practices and countless studies demonstrate that SeaWorld's statements regarding the care and health of their captive orcas are false and misleading. Orcas are large, highly

2

 3 Id.

28

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

¹ The order Cetacea includes whales, dolphins, and porpoises. Orcas are a type of dolphin.

² SeaWorld Entertainment, Inc. and Subsidiaries Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2013, page 3.

Case 4:15-cv-02172-JSW Document 94 Filed 11/22/16 Page 3 of 22

intelligent, and extremely social predators. Yet SeaWorld's orcas live significantly shorter lives than 2 their wild counterparts; complete dorsal fin collapse is generally quite rare in the wild but extremely 3 common, if not universal, among captive male orcas; and SeaWorld often separates tightly knit orca 4 families, causing psychological harm and leading to maladaptive behaviors. In sum, captivity at 5 SeaWorld harms orcas.

6 6. SeaWorld's advertising is dominated by images and quotes touting SeaWorld's animal-7 friendly mission. The overwhelming perception is that far from harming captive orcas, SeaWorld's 8 programs benefit all marine life.

9 7. SeaWorld's advertising misleadingly creates the perception that orcas as a species are 10 generally benefited by SeaWorld's rehabilitative programs, scientific studies, and educational activities, 11 and that the individual orcas it holds in captivity are as healthy and stimulated as their wild counterparts. 12 For example, on its website, SeaWorld carefully deploys imagery of marine mammal rehabilitation 13 juxtaposed with photos of supposedly playfully swimming and jumping orcas.

14 8. SeaWorld also claims that scientific data are inconclusive or judiciously cites academic 15 articles to show that captive orcas are as healthy as wild orcas. For example, in response to the 16 documentary film *Blackfish*, which was released in January 2013 and focused on the negative effects of 17 the captivity of orcas, SeaWorld has posted an open letter, a series of video interviews with caretakers, 18 and a scene-by-scene rebuttal that all generally argue the untruth that SeaWorld orcas are not hurt by captivity. 19

9. 20 Even SeaWorld's logo misrepresents the effects that captivity has on orcas and so 21 misleads consumers. The logo is a stylized profile of two straight dorsal fins in an active and dynamic 22 pose. In reality, as noted above, virtually all of the male captive orcas at SeaWorld's parks suffer from 23 dorsal fin collapse due to their listless existence.

24 10. SeaWorld's marketing efforts conceal its mistreatment of orcas. For example, SeaWorld 25 San Diego's home page features a prominent photo and link titled "Our Care for Killer Whales." The 26 link takes visitors to an open letter which states that the lifespans of SeaWorld's orcas are "equivalent 27 with those in the wild" and that SeaWorld does not separate orca mothers from calves. In another 28 location, SeaWorld's website misleads consumers into believing that dorsal fin collapse is common

3

Case 4:15-cv-02172-JSW Document 94 Filed 11/22/16 Page 4 of 22

among wild male orcas, citing a scholarly paper. On a page titled "Truth about *Blackfish*," SeaWorld states that it is "wrong" that "life at SeaWorld is harmful for killer whales."

11. These claims are misleading if not outright lies. Conveyed to purchasers through the website, and disseminated to Plaintiffs and the public at large through promotional materials and emails, SeaWorld's false statements are misleading to reasonable consumers.

12. Plaintiffs and members of the proposed class purchased from SeaWorld tickets to attend SeaWorld San Diego and/or orca souvenirs while at SeaWorld San Diego, in reliance on SeaWorld's false and misleading representations. SeaWorld has directed its false and misleading representations about the health and happiness of its captive orcas in print advertising, television commercials, the Internet, and other media outlets to Plaintiffs and members of the proposed class. Absent SeaWorld's misrepresentations about captive orca care and health, Plaintiffs and members of the proposed class would not have purchased the tickets or souvenirs from SeaWorld (or would have paid far less for the same).

14 13. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated
15 consumers to halt SeaWorld's dissemination of false and misleading statements and correct the false and
16 misleading perception created by SeaWorld on its website. Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief for all
17 consumers who purchased SeaWorld tickets and/or orca souvenirs in reliance on SeaWorld's
18 representations concerning orca welfare. Plaintiffs further seek monetary relief in their individual
19 capacities based on their purchase of tickets to SeaWorld San Diego and/or orca souvenirs at SeaWorld
20 San Diego in reliance on SeaWorld's representations concerning orca welfare.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

14. Plaintiffs dispute the Court's subject matter jurisdiction. Plaintiffs originally brought suit
in April 2015, in the Superior Court of the State of California for the City and County of San Francisco.
On May 14, 2015, SeaWorld removed the suit to the Northern District of California under the Class
Action Fairness Act. Plaintiffs filed a motion to remand on May 29, 2015, which was denied on
September 24, 2015. On October 5, 2015, Plaintiffs filed a motion seeking leave to file a motion for
reconsideration of the order denying remand. The Court granted Plaintiffs leave on October 14, 2015,
and Plaintiffs filed a motion for reconsideration on October 29, 2015. On January 12, 2016, the Court

4

Case 4:15-cv-02172-JSW Document 94 Filed 11/22/16 Page 5 of 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

17

granted, in part, and denied, in part, Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration of the order denying remand. To the extent the Court denied reconsideration, Plaintiffs filed a Petition for Permission to Appeal before the Ninth Circuit on January 22, 2016. On April 7, 2016, the Ninth Circuit denied Plaintiffs' Petition.

15. The total amount of injunctive relief and attorneys' fees for Plaintiffs and all class members as a whole does not exceed the \$5,000,000 jurisdictional requirement for the original filing of this action in the United States District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) or the removal of this action to the United States District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.

16. Further, the amount of individual restitution, injunctive relief, and attorneys' fees awardable to Plaintiffs pursuant to this action is below the \$75,000 jurisdictional requirement for the 10 original filing of this action in the United States District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) or the removal of this action to the United States District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.

12 17. Venue is proper. Liability in this case arose in the Northern District of California because SeaWorld conducts business there, SeaWorld's false representations were made to Plaintiffs 13 14 there, and Plaintiff Anderson purchased tickets to SeaWorld San Diego there. A substantial or 15 significant portion of the wrongful conduct complained of has occurred and continues to occur within 16 this District. See Ex. A.

PARTIES

18 18. Plaintiff Marc Anderson resides in San Francisco County. Mr. Anderson has a 19 reasonable but firm commitment to animal welfare, and does not purchase tickets to zoos, amusement 20 parks, aquariums, circuses, or other organizations that do not have the facilities to properly care for their 21 animals or that display animals that cannot safely and healthfully be kept in captivity. Since he was a 22 teenager, Mr. Anderson has been exposed to SeaWorld's deceptive long-term advertising campaign 23 regarding the health and happiness of its captive orcas in television commercials depicting happy and 24 healthy orcas, in print advertisements, and/or on the Internet. In reliance on the representations made by 25 SeaWorld as part of its deceptive long-term advertising campaign, Mr. Anderson purchased two tickets 26 to SeaWorld San Diego from SeaWorld's website in March or April 2014, using his computer in San 27 Francisco. Additionally, after purchasing the tickets to SeaWorld San Diego but prior to visiting the 28 park, Mr. Anderson read on SeaWorld's website that orca lifespans in captivity are comparable to orca

Case 4:15-cv-02172-JSW Document 94 Filed 11/22/16 Page 6 of 22

1 lifespans in the wild and that SeaWorld does not separate calves from mother orcas. SeaWorld's 2 representations assured him that SeaWorld takes good care of its orcas. Mr. Anderson visited the park 3 on or around June 11, 2014, and in reliance on both SeaWorld's deceptive long-term advertising 4 campaign and the specific representations SeaWorld made on its website, Mr. Anderson paid SeaWorld 5 San Diego's Shamu Store approximately \$25 to purchase a "Shamu Plush" (a stuffed orca souvenir). 6 Mr. Anderson only found out subsequently, when he saw the *Blackfish* documentary in or around March 7 of 2015, that SeaWorld's advertising and representations were false. Had Mr. Anderson been aware that 8 SeaWorld's advertisements were a misrepresentation of the truth—and had he known that SeaWorld 9 does not take good care of its orcas, that it separates calves from mother orcas, and that its orcas did not 10 have lifespans comparable to orcas in the wild-he would not have purchased tickets to SeaWorld San 11 Diego to avail himself of SeaWorld's entertainment and educational services nor the Shamu Plush (or 12 would have paid far less for them). Mr. Anderson suffered an injury in fact by losing the money 13 associated with his purchases and by supporting what, absent SeaWorld's misrepresentations, Mr. 14 Anderson would have correctly understood to be the inhumane treatment of a highly intelligent and 15 social animal. Moreover, Mr. Anderson continues to suffer injury as he cannot be sure about the 16 veracity of SeaWorld's claims. Mr. Anderson may consider purchasing tickets to SeaWorld and 17 merchandise like the Shamu Plush again in the future if SeaWorld's practices were to evolve to better 18 reflect their stated purposes of conservation and education, and to be honest about the health and status 19 of the orcas.

20 19. Plaintiff Kelly Nelson resides in San Clemente, California. Since at least the early 1990s, 21 Ms. Nelson has been familiar with SeaWorld, and for decades has been exposed to SeaWorld's 22 deceptive long-term advertising campaign regarding the health and happiness of its captive orcas in 23 television commercials, print advertisements, billboards, radio, the Internet, and/or other media outlets. 24 After moving to San Clemente, California in 2008, Ms. Nelson's exposure to SeaWorld's deceptive 25 advertising campaign, particularly in print media, billboards, and/or the radio, became more frequent. In 26 August 2015, Ms. Nelson purchased a ticket to SeaWorld San Diego from the ticket counter at the 27 park's entrance. Prior to purchasing her ticket, Ms. Nelson had watched *Blackfish* and was aware of the 28 controversy surrounding SeaWorld's captive orcas. However, Ms. Nelson had seen SeaWorld's

Case 4:15-cv-02172-JSW Document 94 Filed 11/22/16 Page 7 of 22

1 statements, on its website as well as on television, disputing the allegations of mistreatment of the orcas 2 raised in *Blackfish*. In particular, Ms. Nelson had seen SeaWorld's claims that it did not separate calves 3 and mothers, and that its captive orcas had similar lifespans to orcas in the wild. Relying in good faith 4 on SeaWorld's claims countering *Blackfish*, and on SeaWorld's long-standing advertising campaign 5 regarding the health and happiness of its captive orcas, Ms. Nelson decided to purchase a ticket and visit 6 the park. However, after witnessing the animals perform for the audiences (including the orca show) 7 and doing additional research online following her visit, Ms. Nelson became convinced that SeaWorld 8 was solely a profit-motivated entertainment corporation and not one dedicated, contrary to its claims, to 9 the conservation of animals. Ms. Nelson was also convinced that SeaWorld did in fact separate orca 10 calves and mothers and SeaWorld's orcas do not live as long as those in the wild. Ms. Nelson 11 discovered the falsity of SeaWorld's claims only after spending money to purchase her ticket. Had Ms. 12 Nelson been aware that SeaWorld's advertisements were a misrepresentation of the truth regarding 13 captive orca health, she would not have purchased tickets to SeaWorld San Diego to avail herself of 14 SeaWorld's entertainment and educational services (or would have paid far less for the same). Ms. 15 Nelson suffered an injury in fact by losing the money associated with her purchase and by supporting 16 what, absent SeaWorld's misrepresentations, Ms. Nelson would have correctly understood to be the 17 inhumane treatment of a highly intelligent and social animal. Additionally, Ms. Nelson continues to 18 suffer injury as she cannot be sure about the veracity of SeaWorld's claims. Ms. Nelson may consider 19 purchasing tickets to SeaWorld San Diego again in the future if SeaWorld's practices were to evolve to 20 better reflect their stated purposes of conservation and education, and to be honest about the health and status of the orcas. 21

20. Plaintiff Juliette Morizur resides in Mountain View, California. On April 11, 2012, Ms.
Morizur visited SeaWorld San Diego. While at the park, Ms. Morizur visited the orca show and
specifically asked SeaWorld's trainers questions about their captive orca's collapsed dorsal fins.
SeaWorld employees told Ms. Morizur that that was normal, and also equally common in the wild.
They also told Ms. Morizur that captivity in general does not harm orcas. Additionally, Ms. Morizur
had previously been exposed to SeaWorld's deceptive long-term advertising campaign regarding the
health and happiness of its captive orcas in television commercials, print advertisements, the Internet,

Case 4:15-cv-02172-JSW Document 94 Filed 11/22/16 Page 8 of 22

1 and/or other media outlets. While still at the park, and relying on these representations, Ms. Morizur 2 paid SeaWorld San Diego's Shamu Store approximately \$25 to purchase the Shamu Plush as a souvenir. 3 Ms. Morizur subsequently learned that SeaWorld's representations about its orcas were false when she 4 saw the *Blackfish* documentary shortly after it was released in 2013. Had Ms. Morizur been aware that 5 SeaWorld's statements were a misrepresentation of the truth, she would not have purchased the Shamu 6 Plush (or would have paid far less for it). Ms. Morizur suffered an injury in fact by losing the money 7 associated with her purchase and by supporting what, absent SeaWorld's misrepresentations, Ms. 8 Morizur would have correctly understood to be the inhumane treatment of a highly intelligent and social 9 animal. Additionally, Ms. Morizur continues to suffer injury as she cannot be sure about the veracity of 10 SeaWorld's claims. Ms. Morizur may consider purchasing SeaWorld's merchandise like the Shamu 11 Plush again in the future if SeaWorld's practices were to evolve to better reflect their stated purposes of 12 conservation and education, and to be honest about the health and status of the orcas.

13 21. Defendant SeaWorld is a Delaware corporation with principal executive offices in
14 Orlando, Florida. SeaWorld owns and operates a captive marine animal themed amusement park in
15 California, called SeaWorld San Diego. At all relevant times, SeaWorld has marketed its brand and
16 amusement parks to individuals throughout the world, and specifically in California. SeaWorld broadly
17 sells tickets online, and specifically has sold tickets to individuals in San Francisco County, including to
18 Plaintiffs.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

22. In January of 2013, the documentary film *Blackfish* premiered at the Sundance Film Festival in Park City, Utah. It focused on the story of one of SeaWorld's orcas, Tilikum, and the controversy surrounding the captivity of orcas and Tilikum's lethal attack against a SeaWorld trainer. Critical of SeaWorld, the film sparked a media flurry. In response to the film, SeaWorld issued public statements, an open letter, and posted interviews and testimonials on its website attempting to rebut one of the central claims of *Blackfish* — that captivity is harmful to orcas.

26 23. Many of SeaWorld's statements concerning orcas are false and misleading to reasonable
27 consumers. In particular, SeaWorld repeatedly makes four false and misleading claims designed to

8

28

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Civil Case No.: 4:15-cv-02172-JSW

protect its brand and persuade consumers to buy tickets to SeaWorld's parks as well as SeaWorld's merchandise.

A.

SeaWorld Claims Orca Lifespans in Captivity are Equivalent to Life Spans in Wild.

24. An open letter⁴ on SeaWorld's website states that "SeaWorld's killer whales' life spans are equivalent with those in the wild. While studies continue to define the average life span of killer whales in the wild, the most recent science suggests that our killer whales' life spans are comparable — indeed, five of our animals are older than 30, and one of our whales is close to 50." This statement is measurably false and misleads reasonable consumers into believing that SeaWorld's captive orcas have the same chance of survival and longevity as wild orcas. To the contrary, captivity at SeaWorld greatly reduces the average life expectancy of an orca because of the stresses and diseases associated with confinement.⁵ Indeed, mortality rates of captive orcas are more than twice as high as those of wild orcas.⁶

В.

SeaWorld Claims Collapsed Dorsal Fins are Normal.

25. Like lifespan, dorsal fins indicate the health of male orcas. The exact mechanism is unknown, but virtually all male orcas in captivity suffer from dorsal fin collapse which increases with time spent in captivity. In an effort to portray collapsed dorsal fins as normal and not a result of captivity, SeaWorld claims on its website that "there is scientific evidence that nearly one-quarter of adult male southern resident killer whales in the wild have collapsing, collapsed or bent dorsal fins." In support, SeaWorld cites Ingrid N. Vissar, *Prolific Body Scars and Collapsing Dorsal Fins on Killer Whales (Orcinas Orca) in New Zealand Waters*, Aquatic Mammals 1998, 24.2, 71-81.

⁴ http://seaworld.com/en/truth/killer-whales/letter/ (last accessed April 4, 2015).

⁵ See generally John Jett & Jeffrey Ventre, *Keto and Tilikum Express the Stress of Orca Captivity*, Manuscript submitted to The Orca Project, 2011 (determining the mean duration of captivity for orcas to be at most 8.9 years), available at http://www.freemorgan.org/wpcontent/uploads/2012/10/jett ventre 2011 keto tilikum stress.pdf.

⁶ Robert J. Small & Douglas P. DeMaster, *Survival of Five Species of Captive Marine Mammals*, MARINE MAMMAL SCIENCE 209 (April 1995) (finding a survival rate of 0.938 for captive orcas compared to a survival rate of 0.976 for wild orcas).

Case 4:15-cv-02172-JSW Document 94 Filed 11/22/16 Page 10 of 22

This statement and citation of an academic study is highly misleading. It leads 26. 2 reasonable consumers to believe that the dorsal fin collapse experienced by SeaWorld orcas happens 3 commonly in the wild and is not abnormal. This is not true. Dr. Vissar herself wrote to SeaWorld 4 regarding their select and misleading use of her research: "I hope, that as a scientist yourself and as the 5 Director of Research at SeaWorld . . . you can see how wrong this misrepresentation is – not only to 6 inform the public by distorting the facts but also misrepresenting the data by not presenting it in context."⁷

8 27. Far from demonstrating that SeaWorld-style dorsal fin collapse is normal, Dr. Vissar's 9 study actually shows the opposite. In the population of orcas studied by Dr. Vissar, only one adult male 10 orca out of thirty had a completely collapsed dorsal fin. The other adult males studied by Dr. Vissar with 11 fin abnormalities had partially collapsed, bent, notched, or twisted fins. These other types of fin 12 abnormalities are not analogous to the completely collapsed dorsal fin syndrome experienced by all of 13 SeaWorld's adult male orcas.

14 28. SeaWorld's statement is measurably false and misleads reasonable consumers into 15 believing that a telltale and graphic indicator of the negative effects of captivity on orcas is normal and 16 not worrisome, while in fact the opposite is true.

C.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

7

SeaWorld Claims it Does Not Separate Calves and Mothers.

29. SeaWorld denies separating mothers and calves: "We do not separate killer whale moms and calves. SeaWorld recognizes the important bond between mother and calf. On the rare occasion that a mother killer whale cannot care for the calf herself, we have successfully hand raised and reintroduced the calf. Whales are only moved to maintain a healthy social structure."

30. This statement misleadingly sidesteps important issues in two ways. First, SeaWorld mother orcas are much less likely to be able to care for their offspring due to SeaWorld's husbandry techniques. SeaWorld artificially inseminates orcas more frequently and at an earlier age than orcas

10

⁷ http://www.globalanimal.org/2014/05/28/seaworlds-lies-sink-to-new-low/ (last accessed April 4, 2015).

Case 4:15-cv-02172-JSW Document 94 Filed 11/22/16 Page 11 of 22

become pregnant in the wild. Unlike in the wild, captive orcas do not benefit from large pod social groups and stable matrilineal family lines which provide significant calf-rearing assistance. Second, 3 SeaWorld frequently separates orcas from their mothers after weaning but before maturity according to a 4 study by the Orca Network. Captive born orcas Ikaika, Kayla, Keet, Trua, Tuar, Kalina, and many others were separated from their mothers before the age of five.⁸ Orcas live in highly social and tight-5 6 knit matrilineal pod groups in the wild. The separation of closely related orcas continues to be traumatizing even later in life.⁹ SeaWorld's statement that it "do[es] not separate killer whale moms and 7 8 calves" is measurably false and misleads reasonable consumers into believing that SeaWorld does not 9 harm orcas through forced separation while in fact the opposite is true.

10

1

2

D. SeaWorld Claims Captivity in General Does Not Harm Orcas.

11 31. The above mentioned false and misleading statements work in conjunction with a 12 longstanding and wide-reaching public relations and marketing campaign on the part of SeaWorld to assure the public that it is "wrong [] that life at SeaWorld is harmful for killer whales."¹⁰ Since it 13 14 purchased Shamu, its first captive orca, in 1965, SeaWorld has misled the public about its care for 15 captive orcas and concealed the detrimental health effects that captivity has on orcas. Over at least the 16 last four decades, SeaWorld has disseminated this public relations and marketing campaign via public 17 statements by employees, television shows, television commercials, a telephone information line, print 18 brochures, books, statements made to visitors at SeaWorld's parks, and the Internet. A few examples of 19 the types of statements made by SeaWorld over the course of this decades-long campaign include:

¹⁰ http://seaworld.com/en/truth/truth-about-blackfish/ (last accessed April 4, 2015).

28

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

⁸ See generally https://www.thedodo.com/orcas-separated-at-birth-a-fac-399545539.html#orcasseparated-at-birth-a-fac-399545539.html.

⁹ See Jay Sweeney, *Marine mammal behavioural diagnostics*, CRC Handbook of Marine Mammal Medicine. (1990) (L.A. Dierauf, ed.) ("Attempts at removing a juvenile cetacean under 2 years of age from its mother frequently results in significant stress to the juvenile. . . . The stressed individual frequently exhibits stereotypic swimming patterns, consumes food irregularly, and regresses behaviourally in attempting to form infantile bonding with unrelated adults in the new environment.").

Case 4:15-cv-02172-JSW Document 94 Filed 11/22/16 Page 12 of 22				
• In a 1976 newspaper article, a SeaWorld spokesperson defended SeaWorld's capture of wild orcas by arguing that orcas thrive in captivity and that captive orcas have lower mortality rates than orcas in the wild.				
• In the early 1990s, activists' calls for the release of Corky, a captive orca, embroiled SeaWorld in a public debate. In public statements conveyed through various media outlets, SeaWorld represented that Corky was healthier and happier in captivity than she would be if she were released back into the wild.				
• In a 1995 print advertising newspaper insert, SeaWorld advertised a new exhibit called "Shamu's Happy Harbor."				
• In a children's book titled <i>Getting to Know the Whales</i> , published in 1995, a SeaWorld research biologist wrote that orcas in captivity live just as long as orcas in the wild.				
• In 1997, the Public Broadcasting Service released the Frontline documentary <i>Whale of a Business</i> , in which senior SeaWorld employees stated that orcas in captivity are healthy and would suffer detrimental effects if they were released back into the wild.				
• Most recently, in response to the 2013 film Blackfish, SeaWorld wrote an open letter to the public and posted a series of written and video rebuttals to the film on its website arguing that SeaWorld orcas are not harmed by captivity.				
To this day, SeaWorld continues to perpetuate this public disinformation strategy regarding the general				
health and happiness of its captive orcas.				
32. The representations made as part of SeaWorld's long-term marketing and public relations				
campaign are simply not true. Orcas are large, highly intelligent, and extremely social predators.				
Captivity in a small pool necessarily harms orcas both physically and psychologically.				
33. SeaWorld orcas suffer from a variety of captivity-induced stresses and health problems.				
Beyond life expectancy and dorsal fin collapse, a host of other indicators demonstrate the unhealthy				
existence of captive orcas.				
34. One example of the negative health effects of captivity is that bored Orcas routinely chew				
on the metal bars separating their pools and often orcas "jaw-pop" through gates in displays of				
aggression against one another. This can cause teeth to break, leaving the pulp of the tooth exposed				

Case 4:15-cv-02172-JSW Document 94 Filed 11/22/16 Page 13 of 22

which eventually leads to cavities and infection. The broken teeth are then drilled out, leading to a lifelong risk of infection and necessitating daily "flushing" of the resulting holes.¹¹

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1

35. A second example of the negative health effects of captivity is that orcas from different pods and social groups are frequently placed together, leading to aggression and bullying. The mixing of orcas from different family groups causes increased stresses and acts of aggression. Additionally, the relatively small size of holding pools makes it extremely difficult, if not impossible, for bullied orcas to escape from aggressors. Aggressive orcas "rake" and ram other orcas with their teeth and bodies. In one case, an orca bled to death from a self-inflicted wound incurred during an act of aggression when an artery was severed in her upper jaw.¹² These forms of aggression do not occur in the wild to the same degree of severity. SeaWorld's captivity practices lead to increased risk of orcas hurting themselves and one another.

36. Third, the negative effects of inactivity due to captivity lead to a host of long-term health
problems. SeaWorld orcas are routinely placed on antibiotic and antifungal medications because of
inflammations and infections. Long-term antibiotic use itself carries negative health consequences, such
as susceptibility to yeast infections. In addition to antibiotics, SeaWorld often administers
benzodiazepines — psychoactive behavior-modifying drugs — to control orcas that display troubling or
maladaptive behaviors induced by captivity. SeaWorld has even given psychoactive drugs to nursing
mother orcas against widely accepted veterinary guidelines.¹³

37. Fourth, SeaWorld's captive breeding program suffers from lack of genetic diversity,
leading to damaging health problems. Though SeaWorld claims that "our marine animal populations are
characterized by their substantial genetic diversity,"¹⁴ its orcas are in fact highly inbred. Through

 24 12 *Id.*

¹⁴ SeaWorld Entertainment, Inc. and Subsidiaries Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2013, page 4.

28

22

23

25

26

¹¹ John Jett & Jeffrey Ventre, *Keto and Tilikum Express the Stress of Orca Captivity*, Manuscript submitted to The Orca Project, 2011.

¹³ https://www.thedodo.com/seaworld-gave-nursing-orca-val-493887337.html#seaworld-gave-nursing-orca-val-493887337.html (last accessed April 4, 2015).

artificial insemination, SeaWorld has bred siblings, parents, and offspring together to continue producing orcas for entertainment purposes now that it has ceased to capture orcas from the wild.

3 38. SeaWorld's longstanding and expansive marketing campaign, including its routine 4 dissemination of the above statements, misleads reasonable consumers into believing that orcas are not 5 negatively affected by captivity when in fact the opposite is true. Although SeaWorld continues to make 6 these representations, on or around March 17, 2016, SeaWorld announced that it will end all orca 7 breeding programs, and that the orcas SeaWorld currently has in captivity will be the last generation of 8 orcas in SeaWorld's care. SeaWorld also announced around the same time that it will phase out its 9 theatrical orca whale shows across all of its parks. Plaintiffs' inability to rely on the accuracy of these 10 statements presents a continuing injury to them.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

39. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382, California Civil Code § 1781, and 13 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2), Plaintiffs bring this action for injunctive relief on behalf of 14 themselves and the following class of individuals:

> All consumers within California who, within the past four years, purchased tickets to attend SeaWorld San Diego and/or orca souvenirs at SeaWorld San Diego.

40. Excluded from the class are Defendant, any parent, subsidiary or affiliate of Defendant, and their officers, directors and employees who are or have been employed by Defendant within the past four years, and any judicial officer who may preside over this cause of action. Said definitions of the class may be further defined or amended by additional pleadings, evidentiary hearings, class certification hearing, and/or orders of this Court.

41. The requirements for maintaining this action as a class action are satisfied.

42. Numerosity and Ascertainability: The members of the class are so numerous that joinder of their individual claims is impracticable. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that there are thousands of members of the proposed class. The precise number of class members and their addresses are presently unknown to Plaintiffs, but can be readily ascertained from Defendant's files and records. Defendant's files and records will make members of the class clearly identifiable and

1

2

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Case 4:15-cv-02172-JSW Document 94 Filed 11/22/16 Page 15 of 22

can reasonably control the size of the class. Further, class members can be notified of the pendency of this action by published and/or mailed notice.

43. **Commonality:** This action involves common questions of law and fact, which predominate over any questions affecting individual class members. These common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to, the following:

6 44. Whether the statements discussed above are true, or misleading, or objectively reasonably
7 likely to deceive.

45. Whether SeaWorld's alleged conduct violates public policy.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

46. Whether the alleged conduct constitutes violations of the laws asserted.

47. Whether SeaWorld engaged in false or misleading advertising.

48. Whether Plaintiffs and class members have sustained monetary loss.

49. Whether Plaintiffs and class members are entitled to corrective advertising on
SeaWorld's website and injunctive relief.

50. Typicality: Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the members of the proposed
class. All class members were injured by purchasing tickets to attend SeaWorld San Diego and/or by
purchasing orca souvenirs at SeaWorld San Diego, in reliance on SeaWorld's false and misleading
representations concerning captive orca health and welfare. The factual and legal bases of Defendant's
liability to Plaintiffs and other members of the class are the same and resulted in injury to Plaintiffs and
all other members of the class. Plaintiffs are advancing the same claims and legal theories on behalf of
themselves and all members of the class.

51. Adequacy: Plaintiffs will represent and protect the interests of the proposed class both
fairly and adequately. Plaintiffs have no interests that are antagonistic to those of the proposed class, and
their interests do not conflict with the interests of the proposed class members they seek to represent.

52. Superiority: This proposed class action is appropriate for certification. Class
proceedings on these facts and this law are superior to all other available methods for the fair and
efficient adjudication of this controversy, given that joinder of all members is impracticable. Even if
members of the proposed class could sustain individual litigation, that course would not be preferable to
a class action because individual litigation would increase the delay and expense to all parties due to the

complex factual and legal issues present in this controversy. Here, the class action device will present far fewer management difficulties, and it will provide the benefit of a single adjudication, economies of scale, and comprehensive supervision by this Court. Further, uniformity of decisions will be assured.

53. Unless an injunction is issued, SeaWorld will continue to commit the violations alleged, and the members of the class and the general public will continue to be irreparably harmed by SeaWorld's deceptive advertising.

7 8

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of California False Advertising Law — Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17500, et seq.)

54. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reassert all previous paragraphs.

SeaWorld engages in unlawful conduct under California Business & Professions Code
\$17500, *et seq.*, by advertising in a way that misleads reasonable consumers to believe that captive orcas
are not negatively affected by their captivity when, in fact, scientific evidence demonstrates the contrary.
In addition to the contention that orca health is generally not negatively affected by captivity, SeaWorld
made and continues to make numerous discrete and demonstrably false or misleading statements,
including that orca lifespans in captivity are equivalent to wild orca lifespans; that collapsed dorsal fins
are common in wild orcas; and that SeaWorld does not separate mothers and calves.

SeaWorld's long-term marketing campaign has conveyed a general impression to the
public, including Plaintiffs, that orcas are properly treated in, and not negatively affected by, captivity at
SeaWorld. SeaWorld's depiction of happy, healthy orcas at SeaWorld San Diego has saturated the
public consciousness in California.

21 57. Plaintiffs and class members reasonably relied upon SeaWorld's representations and/or
 22 omissions made in violation of California Business & Professions Code § 17500, *et seq.*

58. Plaintiffs and class members relied upon SeaWorld's representations regarding captive
orca welfare and as a direct and proximate result purchased tickets to SeaWorld San Diego and/or orca
souvenirs at SeaWorld San Diego. Plaintiffs and class members would not have purchased the tickets or
souvenirs from SeaWorld (or would have paid less for them) had they known that captivity was
extremely detrimental to orca health. They therefore suffered an injury in fact and lost money.

Case 4:15-cv-02172-JSW Document 94 Filed 11/22/16 Page 17 of 22

59. 1 Plaintiffs and class members continue to suffer injury owing to uncertainty about whether 2 they can rely upon SeaWorld's statements. As their past decision to purchase SeaWorld tickets and/or 3 merchandise demonstrates, Plaintiffs enjoy wildlife and the kind of animal entertainment and education 4 SeaWorld provides. Their willingness to purchase SeaWorld tickets or merchandise again, however, is 5 contingent upon an accurate portrayal of the health and welfare of the animals at the park. Assuming 6 SeaWorld's practices were to evolve to improve the level of animal care, and to be honest about the 7 health and status of the orcas, Plaintiffs may be inclined to purchase SeaWorld tickets or merchandise in 8 the future. Yet they cannot do so because, given SeaWorld's history of making false and misleading 9 statements about the health and welfare of orcas, they cannot be sure about the veracity of SeaWorld's 10 claims. This is exacerbated by SeaWorld's recent announcements that it will end its theatrical orca 11 shows as well as all orca breeding programs, and that the orcas SeaWorld currently has will be 12 SeaWorld's last generation of captive orcas. Plaintiffs' inability to rely on the accuracy of these 13 statements by SeaWorld presents a continuing injury to them.

14 60. Accordingly, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, seek 15 equitable relief in the form of an order requiring SeaWorld to refrain from making false or misleading 16 statements regarding captive orca health. Additionally, Plaintiffs seek an order requiring SeaWorld to 17 inform the purchasing public on its website that captivity in general negatively impacts orca health, that 18 orca lifespans are shorter in captivity than in the wild, that collapsed dorsal fins are common only in 19 captive orcas, and that SeaWorld separates closely related and tightly-knit orca family members. 20 Finally, Plaintiffs seek an order requiring SeaWorld to refund to Plaintiffs, in their individual capacities, 21 all monies they paid for purchasing goods and services in reliance on SeaWorld's misrepresentations.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of California Unfair Competition Law — Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200, et seq.)

61.

22

23

24

1. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reassert all previous paragraphs.

62. SeaWorld engages in unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent conduct under California Business
& Professions Code § 17200, *et seq.*, by advertising in a way that misleads reasonable consumers to
believe that captive orcas are not negatively affected by their captivity when, in fact, scientific evidence
demonstrates the contrary. In addition to the contention that orca health is generally not negatively

THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Case 4:15-cv-02172-JSW Document 94 Filed 11/22/16 Page 18 of 22

affected by captivity, SeaWorld made and continues to make numerous discrete and demonstrably false or misleading statements, including that orca lifespans in captivity are equivalent to wild orca lifespans; that collapsed dorsal fins are common in wild orcas; and that SeaWorld does not separate mothers and calves.

63. SeaWorld's long-term marketing campaign has conveyed a general impression to the public, including Plaintiffs, that orcas are properly treated in, and not negatively affected by, captivity at SeaWorld. SeaWorld's depiction of happy, healthy orcas at SeaWorld San Diego has saturated the public consciousness in California.

9 64. SeaWorld's conduct is unlawful in that it violates the False Advertising Law, California
10 Business & Professions Code § 17500, *et seq.*

11 65. SeaWorld's conduct is unfair in that it offends established public policy or is immoral,
 12 unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous, unconscionable, or substantially injurious to Plaintiffs and the class
 13 members. The harm to Plaintiffs and class members arising from SeaWorld's conduct outweighs any
 14 legitimate benefit SeaWorld has derived from the conduct.

15 66. SeaWorld's misrepresentations and omissions are likely to mislead a reasonable
16 consumer and are therefore fraudulent within the meaning of the UCL.

17 67. Plaintiffs and class members reasonably relied on SeaWorld's misrepresentations and18 omissions.

68. As a direct and proximate result of SeaWorld's false statements, misrepresentations, and
omissions, Plaintiffs and class members purchased tickets to SeaWorld San Diego and/or orca souvenirs
at SeaWorld San Diego. Plaintiffs and class members would not have purchased the tickets or souvenirs
(or would have paid less for them) had they known that captivity was extremely detrimental to orca
health. They therefore suffered an injury in fact and lost money.

69. Plaintiffs and class members continue to suffer injury owing to uncertainty about whether
they can rely upon SeaWorld's statements. As their past decision to purchase SeaWorld tickets and/or
merchandise demonstrates, Plaintiffs enjoy wildlife and the kind of animal entertainment and education
SeaWorld provides. Their willingness to purchase SeaWorld tickets or merchandise again, however, is
contingent upon an accurate portrayal of the health and welfare of the animals at the park. Assuming

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Case 4:15-cv-02172-JSW Document 94 Filed 11/22/16 Page 19 of 22

SeaWorld's practices were to evolve to improve the level of animal care, and to be honest about the health and status of the orcas, Plaintiffs may be inclined to purchase SeaWorld tickets or merchandise in the future. Yet they cannot do so because, given SeaWorld's history of making false and misleading statements about the health and welfare of orcas, they cannot be sure about the veracity of SeaWorld's claims. This is exacerbated by SeaWorld's recent announcements that it will end its theatrical orca shows as well as all orca breeding programs, and that the orcas SeaWorld currently has will be SeaWorld's last generation of captive orcas. Plaintiffs' inability to rely on the accuracy of these statements by SeaWorld presents a continuing injury to them.

9 70. Accordingly, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, seek 10 equitable relief in the form of an order requiring SeaWorld to refrain from making false or misleading 11 statements regarding captive orca health. Additionally, Plaintiffs seek an order requiring SeaWorld to 12 inform the purchasing public on its website that captivity in general negatively impacts orca health, that 13 orca lifespans are shorter in captivity than in the wild, that collapsed dorsal fins are common only in 14 captive orcas, and that SeaWorld separates closely related and tightly-knit orca family members. 15 Finally, Plaintiffs seek an order requiring SeaWorld to refund to Plaintiffs, in their individual capacities, 16 all monies they paid for purchasing goods and services in reliance on SeaWorld's misrepresentations.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of California Consumer Legal Remedies Act — Cal. Civ. Code §1750, et seq.)

71. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and reassert all previous paragraphs.

72. 20 Notice in accordance with California Civil Code § 1782 was sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, to SeaWorld's principal place of business in California. On October 21, 2016, 22 SeaWorld acknowledged its receipt of the notice via return receipt. Thirty days have expired since 23 SeaWorld acknowledged its receipt of the notice, and SeaWorld has not taken the corrective actions 24 demanded in the notice.

25 73. Plaintiff Kelly Nelson bring this cause of action on behalf of herself and on behalf of all members of the class. 26

27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

17

18

19

21

74. SeaWorld is a "person" as defined by California Civil Code § 1761(c).

75. Ms. Nelson and members of the class are "consumers" within the meaning of California Civil Code § 1761(d).

3 76. SeaWorld's advertising of its entertainment and educational services misleads reasonable 4 consumers to believe that those services, including the orca exhibitions and shows, feature happy, 5 healthy orcas. SeaWorld's advertising deceives consumers into believing that captive orcas are not 6 negatively affected by their captivity when, in fact, scientific evidence demonstrates the contrary. In 7 addition to the contention that orca health is generally not negatively affected by captivity, SeaWorld 8 made and continues to make numerous discrete and demonstrably false or misleading statements, 9 including that orca lifespans in captivity are equivalent to wild orca lifespans; that collapsed dorsal fins 10 are common in wild orcas; and that SeaWorld does not separate mothers and calves. These 11 misrepresentations deceive consumers regarding the true nature of the entertainment and educational 12 services SeaWorld sells.

Further, SeaWorld's long-term marketing campaign has conveyed a general impression
to the public, including Plaintiffs, that orcas are properly treated in, and not negatively affected by,
captivity at SeaWorld. SeaWorld's false depiction of happy, healthy orcas at SeaWorld San Diego has
saturated the public consciousness in California.

17 78. As a result, SeaWorld's representations and omissions are false, misleading, and
18 reasonably likely to deceive the public in violation of California Civil Code §§ 1770(a)(5), 1770(a)(7)
19 and 1770(a)(9).

20 79. SeaWorld's unfair and deceptive acts occurred repeatedly and were capable of deceiving
21 a substantial portion of the purchasing public.

80. SeaWorld's misrepresentations and omissions are material and likely to mislead a
reasonable consumer.

24 81. Ms. Nelson and members of the class reasonably relied on SeaWorld's
25 misrepresentations and omissions.

82. As a direct and proximate result of SeaWorld's unfair or deceptive acts or practices, Ms.
Nelson and members of the class purchased tickets to SeaWorld San Diego. Ms. Nelson and class
members would not have purchased these services from SeaWorld (or would have paid less for them)

20

1

Case 4:15-cv-02172-JSW Document 94 Filed 11/22/16 Page 21 of 22

had they known that captivity was extremely detrimental to orca health. They therefore suffered an injury in fact and lost money.

3 83. Ms. Nelson and class members continue to suffer injury owing to uncertainty about 4 whether they can rely upon SeaWorld's statements. As her past decision to purchase SeaWorld tickets 5 and/or merchandise demonstrates, Ms. Nelson enjoys wildlife and the kind of animal entertainment and 6 education SeaWorld provides. Her willingness to purchase SeaWorld tickets or merchandise again, 7 however, is contingent upon an accurate portrayal of the health and welfare of the animals at the park. 8 Assuming SeaWorld's practices were to evolve to improve the level of animal care, and to be honest 9 about the health and status of the orcas, Ms. Nelson may be inclined to purchase SeaWorld tickets or 10 merchandise in the future. Yet she cannot do so because, given SeaWorld's history of making false and 11 misleading statements about the health and welfare of orcas, she cannot be sure about the veracity of 12 SeaWorld's claims. This is exacerbated by SeaWorld's recent announcements that it will end its theatrical orca shows as well as all orca breeding programs, and that the orcas SeaWorld currently has 13 14 will be SeaWorld's last generation of captive orcas. Ms. Nelson's inability to rely on the accuracy of 15 these statements by SeaWorld presents a continuing injury to her.

16 84. Accordingly, Ms. Nelson, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, seeks 17 equitable relief in the form of an order requiring SeaWorld to refrain from making false or misleading 18 statements regarding captive orca health. Additionally, Ms. Nelson seeks an order requiring SeaWorld 19 to inform the purchasing public on its website that captivity in general negatively impacts orca health, 20 that orca lifespans are shorter in captivity than in the wild, that collapsed dorsal fins are common only in 21 captive orcas, and that SeaWorld separates closely related and tightly-knit orca family members. 22 Finally, Ms. Nelson seeks an order requiring SeaWorld to refund to her, in her individual capacity, all 23 monies she paid for purchasing services in reliance on SeaWorld's misrepresentations.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

85.

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

Wherefore, Plaintiffs pray for a judgment:

a) Certifying the class for injunctive relief as requested herein;

Case 4:15-cv-02172-JSW Document 94 Filed 11/22/16 Page 22 of 22

1	b) Awarding injunctive	relief as permitted by law or equity, including enjoining	
2	SeaWorld from continuing the unlawful practices and requiring SeaWorld to issue		
3	corrective statements on its website as set forth herein, and appointing a receiver to		
4	supervise SeaWorld's statements to the public for such time as is necessary to ensure		
5			
6	SeaWorld's continued compliance with said injunction;		
7		c) Awarding restitution to Plaintiffs in their individual capacities;	
8	d) Awarding attorneys'	d) Awarding attorneys' fees and costs;	
9	e) Providing such further relief as may be just and proper.		
10			
11 12	Dated: November 22, 2016	By: <u>/s/ Lindsey Barnhart</u>	
12		Christine Saunders Haskett	
13		Tracy O. Zinsou Udit Sood	
15		Lindsey Barnhart COVINGTON & BURLING LLP	
16		One Front Street, 35th Floor	
17		San Francisco, California 94111-5356 chaskett@cov.com	
18		tzinsou@cov.com usood@cov.com	
19		lbarnhart@cov.com	
20		Attorney for Plaintiffs Marc Anderson, Kelly Nelson, and Juliette Morizur	
21		,, _,, _	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		22	
	THIRD AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAIN	Civil Case No.: 4:15-cv-02172-JSW	